

Research Article

The Dilemma of Cannabis Prohibition in the Frame of Kompas TV (Analysis by Robert N Entman of the Talk Show Episode of Ganja: Myths and Facts on February 6, 2020)

Nurtyasih Wibawanti Ratna Amina^{1*}, Bambang Satriya², Teguh Priyo Sadono³

¹Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Komunikasi Almamater Wartawan Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

²University of Merdeka Malang, Malang, Indonesia

³Universitas 17 Agustus Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

ORCID

Nurtyasih Wibawanti Ratna Amina: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5419-5488>

Abstract.

This study discusses the dilemma of internal cannabis prohibition in the frame of Kompas TV. This dilemma was raised in Rosi's talk show about Cannabis Topics: Myths and Facts on February 6, 2020. The aim of this study is to know how the media construction of Kompas TV was about the issue of utilizing cannabis as a medical requirement. In studying this, the researcher used method analysis based on framing the analysis of Robert Entman. This study follows a paradigm of subjective interpretive with a qualitative approach to the presented text in Rosi's talk show. Analysis was done with the use of four substances based on framing the analysis of Robert Entman, namely, define problems (problem identification), diagnose causes (problem causes), make moral judgments (decision moral), and recommend treatment (settlement emphasize). Data collection techniques were used from video documentation of Rosi's talk show. Research results reveal that Kompas TV, through Rosi's talk show, shows a tendency to stick to the views that cannabis production is in the class of psychotropic group 1. This study showed the construction of Kompas TV, which is influenced by media ideology.

Keywords: marijuana, framing, media construction, ideology

Corresponding Author: Nurtyasih
Wibawanti Ratna Amina; email:
dutaratna@gmail.com

Published: 8 October 2024

**Publishing services provided by
Knowledge E**

© Nurtyasih Wibawanti Ratna
Amina et al. This article is
distributed under the terms of
the [Creative Commons
Attribution License](#), which
permits unrestricted use and
redistribution provided that the
original author and source are
credited.

Selection and Peer-review under
the responsibility of the 4th
ICONISS Conference Committee.

1. Background of The Problem

Media Television is one of the mainstream mass media moments. This still becomes a favourite in spreading information in a manner quickly and simultaneously to the whole corner homeland. Presence has still become a source of reliable information between many information from social media. Likewise, the events presented are relatively constant and become reference truth required information audience when experience doubt will information circulating in the new media. Besides, that's what the audience feels with existing television. Our audience can, in a manner, direct watch

OPEN ACCESS

news from abroad, though due to the development of mass media and technological progress, information's role is important in successful objective established politics. As a result, as a means delivery news, mass media has become the most powerful channel for influencing and convincing the public to form something opinion public.

Media always try to produce news with mark sell because the mass media also has line business or economic interest (profit). In other words, the media demands for can fulfil the appetite audience. This brings a different corner view between one medium and other media in proclaiming events. Every medium has method packaging alone on events that are influenced by factors of the media's ideology. Attention the public to television, raises various influential impacts on the life public.

Sunardians Wirodono [1] reveals in a sharp manner that television has a big impact on society. Mass media presence is one means of spreading information to the public, of course, with speed up current information through network communication. Mass media is often called the fourth estate (strength fourth) in social, economic and political life. This is the role of the mass media as an institution. From the opinion, the public can become an emphasis on ideas, ideas and images so that they can present them in more context empirically.

Kompas TV, as the station television's recent news compared to television news, such as TV One, Metro TV and Beritasatu, presents news with actual issue issues through the motto Trusted Independent. In development, TV stations that focus on broadcasting news also include the element of entertainment and talk shows into the program. The talk show program that became Kompas TV's mainstay is "Rosi". Right on March 9, 2015, a talk show titled Rosie Show premiered on Kompas TV. Kindly characteristics, talk show brings fill in the program by combining hosts (presenters), resource persons (experts or participation regulars), studio audience, and sometimes callers. Rosie's talk shows not only lift about issues medium warm crowded discussed. Impressions it also features educational events as well as informative, with bring in influential people inside the environment society.

This event, in a manner specifically used to discuss various themes peeled actual more deep, shaped review, analysis, and discussion. Rosie always investigated and insight developing cases/issues, for disclosed more deeply in a manner transparent as reasoning and education to viewers related moderate issues. Rosie, as a Talk Show program in its talk show which aired on February 6, 2020, interested attention audience in general. The issue of certainty law about cannabis in Indonesia, which is

classified as narcotics class 1, e.g. heroin and crystal meth (meth), has confiscated attention in Indonesian society. Ongoing issues rolling and growing become more discussion complex because of ambiguous legislation about cannabis moment. This often triggers happening victimization or frequent cannabis users in a manner mistakes accused as dealers or without access help law during legal proceedings. Rumours and reality about entrapment and extortion by enforcers, law and security officers are also everywhere. The method as an effort government to reduce the level of capacity prison by sending users to centre rehabilitation has triggered various critics sharp in society. Like the implementation of forced and leaking urine tests on confidential patients and rehabilitation programs questionable obligation its effectiveness. Moreover, because part big cannabis users do not experience problems, personal or social consequences of consuming cannabis.

With enforced decriminalization of cannabis consumption, possession and cultivation scale small for private cannabis consumption, the government can overcome various problems like over-capacity prisons and cases of extortion by the authorities enforcing a law to cannabis users. Although Indonesia's anti-narcotics law technically allows cannabis use for objective scientific closed, in relation to objective medical. It seems only. There is little or even no. There is the same research program official about cannabis in this country.

Issue weed is back sticking out to the surface, quickly growing after member Commission VI of the House of Representatives (DPR), Rafli Kande, proposed that cannabis be made as a commodity export. Statement controversy for legalising cannabis in meeting together a government in the DPR on January 30 then, assert that the presence of cannabis is very beneficial tall for need medical and add state income. Rafli's statement Kande suddenly get lots of responses, pros and cons from various parties. Because that is contrary to policies that have made government based on Constitution Number 35 of 2009. Where cannabis is a type of prohibited narcotic used for interest. Including as service medical/health. Kindly common law about government narcotics use. This adopted the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs 1961 made by the United Nations. But in relation not, there is a study deep related to contained articles at the convention that made material consideration of legalization of cannabis as a medical need in Indonesia. However, the organization Indonesian Criminal Justice Reform (ICJR) revealed that the 1961 Single Convention On Narcotic Drugs Act was used government to forbid cannabis use in Indonesia considered very contradictory to the actual content contained in the conventions. According to him, there is a number of no article _ explained in the

stipulation of the Narcotics Law in Indonesia in accordance with the 1961 United Nations Convention law.

Temporary traditional cannabis use in Indonesia is mostly found in the north of the island of Sumatra, especially in the Aceh region. The government of Dutch colonialism initiated restrictions on the production, use and distribution of cannabis in the 1920s. Marijuana is the substance most forbidden used in Indonesia, with about two million users in 2014. According to legislation narcotics moment of this, cannabis is classified inside Group I together with substances such as heroin and crystal meth (meth). Punishment: Violation of law related to cannabis balances with violation of law related to methamphetamine or heroin. Regardless of perception in general, cannabis is not more dangerous. Legalization of cannabis in law, including cannabis in substance narcotics group 1, included addiction class high. Whoever did the abuse arranged it in accordance with Article 127 of the Law and Article 54 of rehabilitation, as well as determined deep rehab Article 103.

Crowded cannabis issue in discourse juridical in legislature and medicine the make polemic about Cannabis endorsement is out from class psychotropic group 1. Rossi tried to lift the issue in the Compass TV frame. Naturally, the frame submitted by Kompas TV reflects the conception and ideology of Kompas within the form of public opinion. One method for understanding discourse developed ideology in the programme is Framing Analysis. An analysis used to dissect ways or current media ideology constructs a facts. Analysis This look at the selection, highlighting, and linking strategies fact to in news order more meaning, more interesting, more means, or more remembered for herding interpretation audience in accordance perspective. Depart from reality thinking, the study aims to discuss Kompas TV discourse and ideology in context presentation theme in "Cannabis: Myths and Facts" on the talk show Rosie.

2. Theoretical Perspective

In understanding text about news conveyed by the media, there are a number of analyses on the text. The one in the understanding text is through framing analysis, trying analysis for unpacking the meaning of existing text behind media text. One of them is framing, proposed by Robert. N. Entman, analysis in the use of the proposed definition of framing is the most frequent definition that becomes reference research. Specifically, purposeful entrant framing analysis describes how to process selectively

and accentuate parts from a real analysis. This explains that framing news mainly involves selection and significance in making information.

Such steps focus more on the studies that get the spotlight and the audience's attention once. Then Entman Alone developed a current model of such framing analysis Lots known with the Robert. N. Entman type framing analysis model designation. Entman says in framing journal: Toward Clarification of Fractured A Paradigm [2] that ".....analysis this used for know-how reality (events, actors, groups, or what course) are framed by the media. Framing this through the construction process with meaning certain. Protrusion of the issue process makes information become more meaningful and more interesting. It means the public can more often remember the issue.

Based on the draft Entman, framing basically refers to giving definitions, explanations, and evaluation of something discourse to emphasize framework think to planned events. Presented reality in a manner that stands out has the possibility to get more attention and influence the audience to understand something reality. Selection process aspect reality that more stand out compared to aspect other. Entman also stated information in context certain get allocation bigger than side other [3].

Framing yourself is part of a media communication strategy or communication journalism. Inner framing function impressions that alone aim to frame information to give birth to: images, impressions, and meanings specifically desired by the media or the discourse to be captured by the public. Framing can look at as placement information in a typical context, so an issue certain gets allocated bigger than another issue. Besides that, framing also puts pressure on how text communication is displayed and which parts are highlighted or considered important by the maker text. Form like it makes an idea or information more easily, looks more easily noticed, remembered, and interpreted because it relates with the scheme view audience. Likewise, with news coverage in the mass media, in particular television. Of all Lots station television in the country.

In framing, analysis can see the direction of the text you want to be discussed by the media, and through understanding discourse researcher can interpret the ideology developed by the media. Media ideology refers to the underlying views, values, and beliefs of reporting, representation, and media practices in a whole way. Media ideology plays an important role in how the media conveys information, chooses a story to be covered, chooses the corner point of view used, and influences the method viewer understands the world. Several points to describing media ideology can be noted. Interests and Values: Media ideology often reflect the interests and values of media

owners and marketers and group the interest behind it. For example, the media owned by the company big Possible tends to strengthen pro-business and pro-corporate perspectives. Orientation Politics: The media often own orientation politics that can influence how they cover and interpret the news. For example, media that has an orientation of political conservative possibly tend to promote conservative values, while the media with the orientation of liberal politics maybe tend to promote liberal values [4].

Framing and Point of View: Media ideology can also be reflected in the selection of framing or corner view used in coverage news. Choice of words, use of images, and focus news can give a corner view certain match with existing media ideology. Bias and Selectivity: Media ideology can reflect bias or selectivity in covering news and issues certain. For example, inclined media's own ideology certain can choose to ignore or reduce coverage to corner the opposite view with ideology. Social Role: media ideology can also relate to the role that social media perceives as an institution. For example, the media adopts the ideology of journalism society (public journalism), perhaps trying to be more role active in empowering the public in the process of news and deliver room for Lot's perspective. However, media ideology can vary depending on the type of media, country, social context, and other factors. Besides, not all media adopt the same ideology, and often there is diversity in presenting various ideologies and perspectives [5].

Analysis by Robert. N. Entman is an approach to studying learning communication, how selecting, assigning, and setting the elements message influence media the way people understand and interpret something issue. Entman views framing as an underlying process that constructs media messages and influences the perception public of the issues faced. One work, famous Robert N. Entman, discusses framing analysis in his book entitled "Projections of Power: Framing News, Public Opinion, and US Foreign Policy", which was published in 2004. The importance of deep framing coverage of news about policy abroad of the United States and how the framing influences the opinion public. Entman researched how the media selects, features, and organizes stories and angles view in news policy abroad. He discloses that the selection of framing by the media can influence the perception and response public to issues. Entman also analyzes the media's role in strengthening or changing narrative dominance and influencing policy abroad [3].

Robert. N. Entman discusses framing analysis in his book entitled “Projections of Power: Framing News, Public Opinion, and US Foreign Policy”, published in 2004, written by Robert. N. Entman and published by the University of Chicago Press. Publishing city book this is Chicago. The objective of deep framing analysis study communication is to understand How selecting, assigning, and setting the elements message influence media the way people understand and interpret something issue. Framing analysis aims to identify and analyze the framing pattern used in the medium to influence the audience’s perception, interpretation, and attitude to an issue [6].

This article discusses objective framing analysis as a rhetorical process. The writer explains that the objective main framing analysis is to disclose framing patterns used in media messages, identify the rhetoric behind the framing, and analyze how framing affects the audience. This article gives a deeper understanding of objective framing analysis and how the approach can be used in study communication.

3. Research Methods

This study uses conception philosophical hermeneutics that performs interpretation will text based on context creation text. Hermeneutics concentrate on relationships mufassir (or critic for case literary text) with text. Concentration on connection mufassir with text this is point root and problem serious for hermeneutic philosophy [7]. With reference to textual studies, a possible approach is qualitative in paradigm subjective interpretive [8].

Method descriptive qualitative with analysis framing. Study qualitative is analysis obtained through the process of observation direct of the object under study. Study this will Lots deepen and explore objects so that revealed meaning is what is read. Object in study this is images, documentation videos and Rosi dialogues with sources listed and displayed in Rosi’s talk show episode of Ganja: Myths and Facts. Researchers analyze Rosi’s talk show episode of Ganja: Myths and Facts. The process of meaning uses theory only as a guide or understanding basis, and emphasis study is in the process of interpreting the dialogue. This study tries to expose how the media constructs reality existing social through the talk show program so generated message the audience understands something facts. Construction of the media message can be seen and studied using method analysis framing. Method framing used is the researcher’s use of framing analysis from Robert Entman through the device Entman (Table 1):

TABLE 1: Device Entman is explained in the following diagrams.

Define Problem	How have something events/issues been seen? As what? Or as a problem, what?
Diagnose Causes (Estimating problem or source problem)	Incident That seen caused by what? What is considered a reason for a problem? Who considered the source as the reason problem? The cause can make what (what) or who (who) understand events.
Make Moral Judgments	What moral values are presented to explain the problem? What moral values are used to legitimize or delegitimize something's action? Element This For justify or give an argument to something events that have defined
Treatment Recommendation	Completion what's on offer to overcome the problem/ issue? Then what steps are offered, and what must take to overcome the problem?

Based on the above image, schematic Framing Robert. N. Entman consists of four substances, namely: Define problems (definition problem), Diagnose causes (estimate problem or source problem), Make moral judgments (make a moral decision), and Treatment Recommendation (make emphasis settlement). This model describes the selection process and highlights aspects certain from reality by the media. Placement of information in a context is typical, so the issue certainly gets allocation bigger from issue other, and for know How method view used journalists when selecting an issue and write news[9]. With technique analysis of the framing expected through study subtitles on episodes of Ganja: Myths and Deep Facts impressions talk show Rosi, the researcher can understand the trend nor ideology compass in discourse pomegranate application of cannabis.

4. Results and Discussion

In the research model of Robert N Entman, for know How Rosi was framing the issue of cannabis in impressions this. Start from the definition problem, estimate the source, and create a moral decision, to emphasize the solution, as instrument analysis text on the program Talk show will deepen what happened in frame broadcasting so that Kompas TV framing direction can be found. Rosi's talk show presents 10 speakers' sources. For made source processing information in a study, this:

4.1. Rafli Kande

Through the source person, this found that Rossi tried to highlight the problem definition of legalization of cannabis through cannabis prohibition as a commodity export. Issues

have shown led to the truth that the current status of cannabis is illegal and entered into narcotics category one. Rosie shows, with give proof in the form of data, that the Narcotics Law was adopted from the UN convention, the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, already explained from 1961 above cannabis prohibition for use as need whatever. With the source person, This Rossi does not diagnose causes and no emphasis on elements analysis another Entman. Make moral judgments (make a moral decision), Treatment Recommendation (make emphasis solution) so from the source person This Rosie only highlight definition problem only.

4.2. Muhammad Amru (Regent Gayo Lues)

From Rossi's conversation with Regent Gayo Lues, Muhammad Amru, in Rossi's framing, has appeared to have issues that occur. Regent Gayo Lues wants the Narcotics Law in Indonesia to include marijuana as a drug kind of dangerous, order immediately reforms were carried out. The issues shown by Rossi here are clear. On which side does Regent Gayo stand up. Rossi showed it in matter this as cannabis prohibition for drugs regulated in the adopted Indonesian Narcotics Law from UN convention 1961. Rossi is trying to highlight a must problem resolved in his area, particularly Gayo, Aceh. In the talk show with the source person in this, Rossi emphasizes problem diagnosis and moral judgment through UN Convention 1961. Because that source person is shown through the protrusion issue, with show Amru who insisted that the government do study, repeat in a manner deep to cannabis benefits and let go of the Narcotics Act category 1.

4.3. Arman Depari (Deputy for Eradication of BNN / Badan Narkotika Nasional)

In framing done by Rosi, explain the pros and cons of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs 1961 formed by the United Nations. Issues shown Rosie This refers to the policy government should adopt the Narcotics Act for use in Indonesia without exists more research deep. Also, how can Indonesia submit to Convention made by the United Nations because, with reason, it became its member. This law was created by the United Nations and got criticism from various parties in Indonesia. Because already from 1961, the act was already not relevant for use by year from this. Rossi then highlights leading data to several UN member states that have Lots of legalised cannabis as needed medical. Then why not? Indonesia Can follow these countries. Here, the government

rated only following the rules set up by the United Nations without existing research and studies in a manner deep to prove the contained benefits of cannabis. This step show element of making moral judgments (making a moral decision) more highlighted to strengthen the argument from resource person one and resource person. As well as making Treatment Recommendations (make emphasis solution) with review return provision act narcotics for amended.

4.4. Dhira Narayana (Chief Marijuana Circle of the Archipelago)

In the discussion above, the framing by Rosi leads to a moral decision for the settlement problem. Here, Rosie highlights such a Dhira hard in the fight for the use of cannabis as a medical need, about her advocacy for issuing cannabis as material test material related to the benefits. The protrusion is going on here regarding the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs 1961, which is considered the government Already ratified the Narcotics Law before implementation in Indonesia. However, not yet exists study in a manner deep makes it seem like copy and paste. On the side, on the other hand, the Narcotics Law was adopted from the 1961 UN convention imposing cannabis must enter into in-class narcotics dangerous type one, which made it more difficult to utilise as drugs. Election, the issue highlighted by Rossi this time, related tight with problems that occur consequence government that doesn't give permission to use cannabis for material tests is carried out. So that aspect is the biggest problem that highlighted issues in impressions this, more to road advocacy The Indonesian Archipelago Maritime Circle fight for the use of cannabis as needing medical.

4.5. Pandji Pragiwaksono (Comics)

Framing next for know Kompas TV ideology through the speakers presented Rosie in snippet this more lead to figure banner. Rosie serves to explain facts about a number of the matter about cannabis issues that are becoming polemic. Issues have led to Figure Pandji public declaring figure support and a campaign to legalise cannabis for use as need medical. Protrusion made Rosie, which led to in problem, Stamped banner as ambassador drugs, destroyer nation and so on. Just because Pandji chose for campaign cannabis legalization and invited all literate about cannabis plants to get quick done study in a manner medical, in this scene, Rossi appears to nominalization endorsement

of cannabis as Treatment Recommendation (make emphasis solution), with displays big comic during this becomes reference source reference child young.

4.6. Jefri Tambayong (Chairman of FOKAN - Organizational Forum Anti-Drug Society)

Rosie, in the dialogue together, Jefri did framing news about happen issue of the refusal made by FOKAN to use cannabis as needing medical. Issues have shown seen clearly that Jefri as the chairman of FOKAN, opposed the hard-trying party using cannabis as needed medical. In protrusion, Rossi's issue shows the decision to do a judicial review to see the contained benefits of this cannabis plant. Besides that, Jefri also said if abuse exists will matter the first person focus will act. Framing This appears that Rosie wants to display a side counter will cannabis legalization or get cannabis out type narcotics group 1. Deep rejection This Rosie highlights the elements of resisting emotions, emphasising "be the first to act".

4.7. Erasmus Napitupulu (ICJR Program Director)

In a snippet from another interview, the ICJR program director pointed out Making moral judgments from several organisations that have appealed to conduct a judicial review of the Court Constitution. Issues are shown so clearly. ICJS, ICJR, Rumah Cemara, and the organization agreed to conduct a judicial review of the Court Constitution to let go of cannabis as narcotics type class one.

Rosie highlights a number of aspects to prove the truth by showing organizations That Already do Work. The same with the Minister of Health to give the truth about what happened. But on the other hand, Rosi also showed issues related hard to getting permission to get goods to BNN. Because here, the authority gives permission is BNN to give goods. So, from that's the problem, this highlighted by erosion as the reason for the problem. This shows that Rossi stresses the moral reinforcement of several institutions for getting cannabis out of drugs class 1.

4.8. dr. Widya Murni (Doctor in Integrative and Functional Medicine)

Other studies seen from the results interview Rosie with dr Widya Pure strengthening Rosi's framing of Cannabis polemic. This is more seen from the results interview Rosie exists abuse the function of cannabis is performed by several people, so the government clearly gives indictment that cannabis is drugs forbidden and must eradicate. Here, Rossi answered the question by showing from facet benefit medical how There is a number of types of cannabis that can be used as a medical necessity. Similarly explained, there is also a type of marijuana that has marijuana with more THC content as high as you can wrong use by people as recreational marijuana. Inside the session, Rosie, on purpose, answered allegations made by the head of BNN and FOKAN against many level-death users of cannabis.

The protrusion issue done by Rosie shows if cannabis can be utilized as a needed drug. Besides it, deep research conducted by dr. Widya. Rosie highlights that no research which states that death exists due to cannabis.

4.9. Prof. Komarudin Hidayat (Professor of UIN Syarif Hidayatullah)

Through a talk show with a professor at UIN Syarif Hidayatullah, Rosi framing about the effects of cannabis in psychologically someone. In an interview, the more highlight about legality laws and factors psychology of activists' cannabis use. Issues that Rossi displayed on purpose highlight both split parties must respect the aspect of legality law positively. Prof Komaruddin confirmed the inside position. The BNN has to do with it being a legal entity which should enforce the law. However, a moment in this case, the marijuana law in Indonesia included the type of narcotics level first to be considered dangerous. In an interview, they looked exists reinforcement on Define problems (defining problems) and Diagnosing causes (estimating problems or source problems) by highlighting aspects of philosophy and psychology, someone like case one who fights the use of cannabis as material drugs. However, many people can have their own stigma medium related he fought for.

That people like that can get words that he is part of from the user. Cannabis legalization is carried out that already certainly considered a need by him. Certain stigmas become accidentally part passed to these people without following the translation process.

4.10. dr. Ryu Hasan (Expert Neuroscience)

Rosie, in a talk show, displays their opinion dr. Ryu is very upside down from a number of commenting experts. Rosie seen clearly displays figure dr Ryu as an expert in the field of health but also not yet can believe 100 per cent against cannabis being used as a material drug. Ryu confirmed, of course, that the type of Narcotics is dangerous, but cannabis is one of them. Material no medication causes dependence and effects death. Protrusion here, Rosie emphasises information to answer already raised by the chairman of BNN and FOKAN. Besides, that's appropriate knowledge he does. Ryu confirmed that death to gaja This Not yet found The same once. And yet exists a report medical against cannabis cause people sakau or die Because of consuming cannabis. In this matter, Rosie highlights a very considerate issue decision dr Ryu for all elements can give access so that cannabis can be researched to know the truth. As well as can legally exploit plants. This is needed for medical. Rossi showed If dr Ryu believes that every material drug can benefit healing.

Depart from framing analysis through elements, Entman's framing elements show there is a frame strongly stated in the Talk Show. The event is very kuta emphasis on Define problems (defining the problem), with give pressure stress on conflict arguments show about medical problems and the establishment of cannabis incl from a designation of cannabis as group narcotics class one. Legal problems in set dealers and users often wrong interpret. Problem pros and cons related to the Narcotics Law in Indonesia, which is assessed as not relevant because only adoption of the UN's 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs Act without exists consideration manner deep for applied in Indonesia because the contents contained not relevant to existing conditions moment this.

Diagnose causes (estimate problem or source problem) be enough attention proportional in understanding pro con conflict between cannabis legalization and cannabis expulsion from Narcotics class one. The problem should be delivered to balance the parties' pros and cons. However, so it is with those who have views that cannabis has a negative effect equivalent to Narcotics Group 1 appear to have an emotional argument compared to those who take Cannabis in interest Medical so no can be categorized as Narcotics class 1.

Make moral judgments (make moral decisions). Rosi is capable of presenting a narrative from a figure of intellectual, religious, and expert health until he uses a figure comic as a child patron young. The arguments presented strongly nominalise Rosie's

capability of presenting a narrative about the importance of cannabis for necessary medical individuals and also remove cannabis from Invite Invite psychotropic class 1.

Treatment Recommendation (make emphasis solution) In element This Rosi also presents an argument through constructed narrative from the constitution nor from analysis by experts in the field medical and Law. Rossi also presents justification from United Nations Convention on cannabis plants legally used as a need for medical treatment. But in Indonesia itself, marijuana is not used or utilized in any form. So, a lot party start to take a number of steps to let go of cannabis from type narcotics dangerous group 1 by doing a material test to Court the Constitution about the use of cannabis as a medical need.

5. Conclusion

Based on the result data presentation research conducted with analysis and discussion above. The researcher can make interesting conclusions that exist. Construction done by Rosianna Please watch the Ganja episode: Myths and Facts. Rosianna, Please repeatedly build Narration about the fact of cannabis use through arguments, scientific medical or religious. Narration the explained in a manner No immediate cannabis should be issued from type narcotics class one. Rosie in matter This put forward aspects certain in effort use of cannabis as material drugs. In conclusion of this episode, Rosie's impressive argumentatively led to the government and organizations' other related matters in order to conduct a material test to prove the benefits of cannabis between myth and facts. Construction carried out by Rosie through the emphasis on problem definition, diagnosis, moral judgment and emphasis solution is also visible from the use of more sources dominant in those who agree with Cannabis is excluded from class One (1) Narcotics and attempts review repeat act Narcotics through Material Test. Fees on argument referred to the many member states of the United Nations that have also legalised cannabis for need medical.

References

- [1] Abdullah A, Puspitasari L. Media televisi di era internet. *ProTVF*. 2018;2(1):101–10.
- [2] Butsi FI. Mengenal analisis framing: tinjauan sejarah dan metodologi. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Komunikasi Communique*. 2019;1(2):52–8.
- [3] Entman RM. Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *J Commun*.

- 1993;43(4):51–8.
- [4] Entman R. Media and democracy without party competition. *Mass Commun Soc.* 2005;43(4):251–70.
- [5] Eriyanto. Analisis framing konstruksi, ideologi, dan politik media. Yogyakarta: LKiS; 2002
- [6] Gamson WA, Modigliani A. Media discourse and public opinion on nuclear power: a constructionist approach. *Am J Sociol.* 1989;95(1):1–37.
- [7] Hakim L, Amina NR. Analisis framing acara Rosi di Kompas TV episode Ganja: mitos dan fakta. *DIGICOM: Jurnal Komunikasi Dan Media.* 2021;1(1):31–41.
- [8] Kuypers JA. Framing analysis from a rhetorical perspective. Routledge; 2009.
- [9] Putri D, Blickman T. Ganja di Indonesia: gambaran umum sejarah penggunaan Ganja di Indonesia. 2016.